Studies on the Food of Nudibranchs

BY

JAMES W. McBETH

Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92037

INTRODUCTION

Although much information is available on the biology of many eastern Pacific nudibranchs, few studies have been directed toward their feeding habits. In contrast to this situation, considerable information is available on the diets and feeding behavior of a large portion of the nudibranchiate fauna of Europe (Forrest, 1953; Graham, 1955; Swennen, 1961; Miller, 1961, 1965; Thompson, 1964) and of the central and west-Pacific (Young, 1966, 1967).

As part of a primary study concerned with the colored pigments of nudibranchs (McBeth, 1970), a preliminary examination was made on the diets of 6 species. The following criteria were used in establishing the food of each species: 1) field observations of the nudibranchs in association with the potential food organisms; 2) laboratory observations of feeding behavior; and 3) occurrence of recognizable food particles in the alimentary tracts and feces of the nudibranchs. All of the species studied were collected from the San Diego area.

RESULTS

Hypselodoris californiensis (Bergh, 1879)

Many dorid nudibranchs studied to date have been found to be rasping sponge-feeders (Graham, 1955; Aboul-Ela, 1959; Swennen, 1961; Miller, 1961, 1965; Thompson, 1964; Young, 1966, 1967). The diet of Hypselodoris californiensis was also found to consist of sponges, as demonstrated by field and laboratory observations (see Table 1). Spicules of the particular sponges were often isolated from the feces and alimentary tracts of freshly collected specimens. Individuals of H. californiensis were observed and collected from waters ranging from less than one foot to more than 60 feet in depth.

Anisodoris nobilis (MacFarland, 1905)

Anisodoris nobilis was also found to be a rasping spongefeeder. Its diet appears to consist of a variety of sponges,

Table 1

Diets of Nudibranchs from San Diego, California

Nudibranch species	Diet
Hypselodoris californiensis	
Sponges	
Stelletta e.	strella de Laubenfels, 1930 (1,2,3)
Haliclona	sp. (1, 2, 3)
Anisodoris nobilis	
Sponges	
Myxilla a	gennes de Laubenfels, 1930 (1,2,3)
Paresperel	la psila de Laubenfels, 1930 (3)
Zygerphe	hyaloderma de Laubenfels, 1932 (3)
Mycale m	acginitiei de Laubenfels, 1930 (3)
Prianos sp	o. (3)
4 unidenti	ified species (3)
Hopkinsia rosacea	
Bryozoan	
Eurystome	ella bilabiata Hincks, 1884 (1,2)
Triopha carpenteri	
Bryozoans	
	laria diegensis Robertson, 1905 (1, 2, 3)
	rulata Osborn, 1953 (1,2,3)
Gellaria n	nandibulata Hincks, 1882 (1, 2, 3)
Dendrodoris fulva	
Sponges	
	ata Grant, 1827 (1,2)
	uberea lata Lambe, 1892 (1,2,3)
	erithacus de Laubenfels, 1927 (1,2,3)
Suberites	sp. (²)
Flabellino psis io dinea	
Hydroid	
Eudendrii	um ramosum Linnaeus, 1758 (1,2)

(1) Nudibranch associated with prey in the field

(2) Nudibranch observed feeding on prey in the laboratory

(3) Prey isolated from alimentary tract of nudibranch

although it was most often found associated with Myxilla agennes in the field. Moreover, the spicules of this sponge occurred most often in the alimentary tracts of freshly collected specimens of Anisodoris. The other sponges consumed by this nudibranch were ascertained exclusively

from gut analyses (see Table 1). All specimens of *A. nobilis* were observed and collected from waters ranging from 60 to 110 feet in depth.

Hopkinsia rosacea MacFarland, 1905

The results of this study indicate that Hopkinsia rosacea feeds exclusively on the pink encrusting bryozoan, Eurystomella bilabiata. It was often observed in association with this bryozoan in the field, and consumed it readily in the laboratory. Its feeding behavior closely resembles that described for other suctorial dorid nudibranchs which also consume encrusting bryozoans (Thompson, 1958; Morse, 1967; McBeth, 1968). The nudibranch first rasps a hole in the frontal membrane of the zooid via radular action. The soft parts of the ectoproct are then sucked out as a result of buccal bulb contractions. This feeding response is also similar to that described for those suctorial nudibranchs which consume barnacles (BARNES & POWELL, 1954), ascidians (FORREST, 1953) and arborescent bryozoans (MILLER, 1965). All specimens of Hopkinsia rosacea studied in this work were collected intertidally.

Triopha carpenteri (Stearns, 1873)

The diet of *Triopha carpenteri* was found to consist of 3 species of arborescent bryozoans. Gut analyses of over 100 freshly collected individuals invariably yielded the partly digested fragments of *Scrupocellaria diegensis*, *Crisia serrulata*, and *Cellaria mandibulata*, listed in order of decreasing abundance. Individuals of *Triopha* were often observed crawling on these closely-associated bryozoans in the field, and consumed them readily in the laboratory. Specimens of *Triopha* were collected from depths of 60 to 100 feet of water.

Many other polycerid nudibranchs have been reported to consume arborescent bryozoans (Graham, 1955; Miller, 1961), and the feeding behavior of *Triopha carpenteri* seems to be quite similar to that described for *Polycera* sp. (Miller, 1965). Branch segments of the bryozoan colony are broken off and ingested by the combination of radular action, grasping jaws, and body contractions. As the engulfed fragments pass through the alimentary tract of the nudibranch, the soft parts are digested away, leaving the intact, identifiable branch segments.

Dendrodoris fulva MacFarland, 1905

The diet of the "porostomes," a group of dorid nudibranchs lacking radulae and jaws, has been difficult to ascertain. Eliot (1908) mentioned that specimens of *Dendrodoris nigra* Stimpson, 1855, from the Suez area,

were found "in the cavities of a red sponge which they probably eat." PRUVOT-FOL (1954) suggested that the porostomes were probably reduced to ingesting ooze (slime and mud) for nourishment because of their lack of "hard parts."

Two relatively recent reports, however, have established the fact that members of the genus *Dendrodoris* can feed on sponges. Ghiselin (1964) reported that specimens of *Dendrodoris albopunctata* (Cooper, 1863) consumed a variety of sponges as demonstrated by field observations and gut analyses. He observed that during feeding, a tubular structure was everted from the mouth. Young (1966), studying the feeding behavior of *D. nigra* on the sponge *Halichondria dura* Lindgren, 1894, hypothesized that when the buccal bulb is protracted during feeding, the ptyaline gland secretes a substance through the mouth which breaks down the spongin fibers of the prey. The resulting liquid, containing mesenchyme cells and spicules, is then sucked into the mouth by the action of the specialized buccal apparatus.

Specimens of *Dendrodoris fulva* studied in this work were collected from waters 70 to 110 feet in depth. They were often observed with their buccal bulbs everted while humped over sponges, presumably in a feeding response. The nudibranchs were observed on a variety of sponges, both in the field and in the laboratory. In only one instance, however, were sponge spicules actually recovered from the alimentary tract (see Table 1). It is interesting to note in this regard, that both Ghiselin (op. cit.) and Young (op. cit.) were able to isolate sponge spicules from the intestinal tracts of many specimens, whereas Gohar & Soliman (1967) could find "no identifiable food particles in the alimentary canal" of *D. fumata* (Rüppell & Leuckart, 1828) from the Red Sea.

Flabellinopsis iodinea (Cooper, 1862)

The diet of Flabellinopsis iodinea was found to consist entirely of the hydroid Eudendrium ramosum. A large number of other aeolid nudibranchs have also been reported to consume hydroids (McMillan, 1942; Pruvotfol, 1954; Graham, 1955; Swennen, 1961; Miller, 1961, 1965; Russell, 1964; Thompson, 1964).

Individuals of *Flabellinopsis* were observed crawling on colonies of *Eudendrium* in waters from less than one foot in depth to more than 100 feet. The feeding behavior was observed in the laboratory. When the nudibranch comes in contact with a hydranth, the lips manipulate it into a suitable position for the jaws to grasp. The hydranth is torn from the stalk as a result of 3 or 4 violent contractions of the body. The detached hydranth is then drawn into the mouth via radular action.

DISCUSSION

Some nudibranchs have been found to be very selective in their choice of food organisms (Stehouwer, 1952; Braams & Geelen, 1954; Swennen, 1961; Cook, 1962; Thompson, 1962). Thompson (1964) states that all species of nudibranchs "may have a single preferred foodorganism, but in the absence of this it will attack other prey." The sponge-consuming nudibranchs studied in this work do not appear to be species-specific in their choice of food. The results reported herein suggest that Hypselodoris californiensis, Anisodoris nobilis and Dendrodoris fulva all feed on a variety of sponges. Further studies are needed, however, before any degrees of preference can be established.

Hopkinsia rosacea does appear to be species-specific in its choice of food. It was never observed in association with any food organism other than the bryozoan, Eurystomella bilabiata. The discovery of relatively large deposits of the unique carotenoid, hopkinsiaxanthin, in the integuments of both Hopkinsia and Eurystomella (Mc-Beth, 1970) tends to confirm the results of this food study. Future studies on the feeding habits of Hopkinsia rosacea specimens collected from other localities along the coast would be of great interest in ascertaining the degree of dependency of the nudibranch's distribution on that of the prey.

Triopha carpenteri and Flabellinopsis iodinea also appear to be rather specific in their feeding habits. Comparative pigment analyses of the nudibranchs and their respective prey tend to strengthen this supposition (Mc-Beth, 1970). Before any final conclusion can be drawn, however, more detailed food-preference studies are needed, including comparisons of distributional patterns.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. John Soule for identifying the bryozoans mentioned in this work. I also thank Dennis Lees for his help in identifying the hydroid. This study was made possible by the National Science Foundation through a grant to Professor Denis L. Fox, Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

Literature Cited

ABOUL-ELA, IBRAHIM AHMED

1959. On the food of nudibranchs. Biol. Bull. 117: 439 - 442 Barnes, Harold & H. T. Powell

1954. Onchidoris fusca (Müller), a predator of barnacles. Journ. Animal Ecol. 23: 361 - 363

Braams, W. G. & Hannie F. M. Geelen

1953. The preference of some nudibranch eolids for certain coelenterates. Arch. Néerl. Zool. 10: 241 - 264

COOK, EMILY F.

1962. A study of food choice of two opisthobranchs, Rostanga pulchra McFarland and Archidoris montereyensis (Cooper). The Veliger 4 (4): 194-196; 4 text figs.

(1 April 1962)

ELIOT, CHARLES N. E.

1908. Reports on the marine biology of the Sudanese Red Sea. XI. Notes on the collection of nudibranchs from the Red Sea. Journ. Linn. Soc. 31: 86 - 122

FORREST, J. E.

1953. On the feeding habits and the morphology and mode of functioning of the alimentary canal in some littoral dorid nudibranchiate mollusca. Proc. Linn. Soc. London 164: 225 - 235

GHISELIN, MICHAEL TENANT

1964. Feeding of *Dendrodoris (Doriopsilla) albopunctata*, an opisthobranch gastropod. Ann. Reprt. Amer. Malacol. Union 1964: 45 - 46

GOHAR, H. A. F. & G. N. SOLIMAN

1967. The biology and development of *Dendrodoris (Doridopsis) fumata* (Rupp and Leuck) (Gastropoda: Nudibranchia). Publ. Mar. biol. Sta. Al Ghardaqa (Red Sea) 14: 31 - 54

GRAHAM, ALASTAIR

1955. Molluscan diets. Proc. Malac. Soc. London 31: 144-159.

McBeth, James Warren

1968. Feeding behavior of *Corambella steinbergae*. The Veliger 11 (2): 145-146 (1 October 1968)

1970. The deposition and biochemistry of carotenoid pigments in nudibranchiate molluscs. Ph. D. dissertation, Univ. Calif., San Diego

McMillan, N.

1942. Food of nudibranchs. Journ. Conchol. 21 (10): 327

MILLER, MICHAEL CHARLES

1961. Distribution and food of the nudibranchiate Mollusca of the South of the Isle of Man. Journ. Anim. Ecol. 30: 95-116

1965. Grazing carnivores – some sea slugs feeding on sedentary invertebrates. Poirieria 3: 1 - 11

Morse, Patricia M.

1967. On the structure and function of the digestive system of the nudibranch mollusc, *Acanthodoris pilosa*. Ph. D. dissert. Univ. New Hampshire

PRUVOT-FOL, ALICE

1954. Mollusques opisthobranches. *In* Faune de France, 58: 460 pp.; 1 plt.; 173 text figs.; Paris, Paul Lechevalier.

RUSSELL, HENRY D.

1964. New England nudibranch notes. The Nautilus **78**: 37 - 42

STEHOUWER, E. C.

1952. The preference of the slug Aeolidia papillosa (L.) for the sea anemone Metridium senile (L.). Arch. Néerl. Zool. 10: 161 - 170.

SWENNEN, C.

1961. Data on distribution, reproduction, and ecology of the nudibranchiate Mollusca occurring in the Netherlands. Netherl. Journ. Sea Res. 1 (1-2): 191-240 THOMPSON, THOMAS EVERETT

1958. The natural history, embryology, larval biology and postlarval development of *Adalaria proxima* (Alder and Hancock) (Gastropoda, Opisthobranchia). Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London B 242: 1 - 58

1962. Studies on the ontogeny of *Tritonia hombergi* Cuvier (Gastropoda, Opisthobranchia). Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London B 245: 171 - 218

1964. Grazing and the life cycles of British nudibranchs.
Brit. Ecol. Soc. Symp. 4: Grazing in terrestrial and marine environments, ed. D. J. Crisp. Blackwell, Oxford: 275 - 297 Young, David Kenneth

1966. Systematics, food and functional morphology of the feeding apparatus of some dorid nudibranchs. Ph. D. dissert. Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu.

1967. New records of Nudibranchia (Gastropoda: Opisthobranchia: Nudibranchia) from the Central and West-Central Pacific with a description of a new species. The Veliger 10 (2): 159-173; 18 text figs. (1 October 1967)

